

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/28/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/831,196	05/07/2001	Jean-Prancois Bodet	7343M	1416
27752	7590 02/28/2005		EXAM	INER
	CTER & GAMBLE CO	BOYER, CHARLES I		
	TUAL PROPERTY DIVI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE			1751	·
CINCINNA	TI, OH 45224			_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		U		LD
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
,	09/831,196	BODET ET AL		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Charles I. Boyer	1751		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	of (a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.	
Status			•	
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Fe</li> <li>2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This</li> <li>3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		e merits is	
Disposition of Claims	•			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 17-22 and 24-37 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 35-37 is/are withdraw  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 17-22 and 24-34 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acceedable and acceed to the company of the examiner of of the exami	rn from consideration.  relection requirement.  r.  r.  poted or b)  objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	· ·	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.			` '	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage	
Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
Notice of References Cited (P10-032)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	O-152)	

Art Unit: 1751

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is responsive to applicants' request for continued examination received February 17, 2005. Claims 17-22 and 24-37 are currently pending with claims 35-37 withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC ∋ 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C.
 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 17-21 and 24-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chavannes, GB 2,204,321.

Chavannes teaches biodegradable liquid detergent compositions (see abstract). The invention includes a process for the ultrasonic cleaning of articles by immersing the articles in a cleaning bath comprising water, surfactants, and builders (page 11, claims 1 and 14). An example of such a cleaning fluid comprises water, two nonionic surfactants, one a C12-15 alcohol with 7 ethoxy groups, and the other a C12 alcohol containing 5 ethoxy groups and 4 propoxy groups, sodium nitrilotriacetate, and an alkyl benzene sulfonate (page 8, examples 4-6 and 8). Referring to page 15, lines 5 and 6 of the present specification, the first nonionic surfactant satisfies the definition of a high cloud point surfactant. Referring to page 14, line 15 of the present specification, the second nonionic surfactant satisfies the definition of a low cloud point surfactant. As

this reference meets all material limitations of the claims at hand, the reference is anticipatory. With respect to additional components claimed in claims 24-29, the examiner notes these are optional components only. With respect to the interfacial tension limitation of the claims, as the compositions of the reference contain the precise ingredients required by the claims, the examiner maintains the composition will inherently have an interfacial tension value within that presently claimed.

Applicants have traversed this rejection on the grounds that Chavannes does not teach a nonionic surfactant system wherein said nonionic surfactant system comprises at least one nonionic surfactant having a cloud point greater than 60\*C and at least one nonionic surfactant having a cloud point less than 10\*C. Based on the discussion above, the examiner maintains the cloud points of these surfactants inherently satisfy this claim limitation. Applicants' further argue that Chavannes teaches antifoaming agents. Though Chavannes does teach low-foaming surfactants, this is not the same thing as an anti-foaming agent. Accordingly, the rejection is maintained.

2. Claims 17-21 and 24-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kitazawa et al, US 5,350,457.

Kitazawa et al, US 5,350,457 teaches a process for cleaning workpiece surfaces by using ultrasound and a cleaning fluid (see abstract). An example of such a cleaning fluid comprises water, two nonionic surfactants, an ethoxylated alcohol and ethylene oxide/propylene oxide copolymer, and an alkyl benzene sulfonate (col. 7, example 3). Referring to page 15, lines 5 and 6 of the present specification, the first nonionic

Application/Control Number: 09/831,196

Art Unit: 1751

surfactant satisfies the definition of a high cloud point surfactant. Referring to page 14, line 23 of the present specification, the second nonionic surfactant satisfies the definition of a low cloud point surfactant. As this reference meets all material limitations of the claims at hand, the reference is anticipatory.

Applicants have traversed this rejection on the grounds that Kitazawa et al do not teach a nonionic surfactant system wherein said nonionic surfactant system comprises at least one nonionic surfactant having a cloud point greater than 60\*C and at least one nonionic surfactant having a cloud point less than 10\*C. Based on the discussion above, the examiner maintains the cloud points of these surfactants inherently satisfy this claim limitation. Accordingly, the rejection is maintained.

Claims 17-22 and 24-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kanno et al, US 6,199,567.

Kanno et al teach an ultrasonic process for cleaning a semiconductor, utilizing a quaternary ammonium cationic surfactant (col. 10, claims 1 and 3, and col. 4, lines 28-45). As this reference meets all material limitations of the claims at hand, the reference is anticipatory.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles I. Boyer whose telephone number is 571 272 1311. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 to 6:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/831,196 Page 5

Art Unit: 1751

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 571 272 1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Charles I Boyer Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751